

Appln. No. 09/755,752  
Amdt. dated: October 17, 2003  
Reply to Office Action dated July 25, 2003

### REMARKS

These remarks are set forth in response to the Office Action mailed July 25, 2003, (the "Office Action"). As this amendment has been timely filed within the three-month statutory period, neither an extension of time nor a fee is required. Presently, claims 1-24 are pending in the Patent Application. In the Office Action, however, claims 1-24 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by published U.S. Patent Application No. 2002/0077151 to Matthews, et al., ("Matthews").


Although Applicant does not believe that Matthews can be reasonably construed to disclose or suggest Applicant's claimed invention, this point is rendered moot because Applicant notes that Matthews is not an appropriate reference against Applicant's claimed invention. The current application claimed the benefit of U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 60/175,530 filed January 10, 2000, which is prior to the effective filing date accorded to Matthews, namely, December 18, 2000. Therefore, Applicant requests the withdrawal of Matthews as a basis for the rejection of Applicant's claims.

This entire application is believed to be in condition for allowance. Consequently, such action is respectfully requested. The Applicants request that the Examiner call the undersigned if clarification is needed on any matter within this Amendment, or if the Examiner believes a telephone interview would expedite the prosecution of the subject application to completion.

Appln. No. 09/755,752  
Amdt. dated: October 17, 2003  
Reply to Office Action dated July 25, 2003

Respectfully submitted,

Date: October 17, 2003

  
Robert J. Sacco  
Registration No. 35,667  
AKERMANN SENTERFITT  
222 Lakeview Avenue, Suite 400  
Post Office Box 3188  
West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3188  
Telephone: (561) 653-5000

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER  
OCT 20 2003

OFFICIAL